Title of EIA/ DDM: Pupil Growth Contingency Fund – criteria setting Department: Children & Adults Service Area: Access & Inclusion – School Organisation Author (assigned to Covalent): Nick Lee

Name of Author: Lucy Juby Corporate Director: Alison Michalska Strategic Budget EIA: N (please underline)

Brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed:

This report seeks to update and obtain approval from Schools Forum on the Sub-group's recommendations for the conditions, criteria and methodology under which pupil growth contingency funding can be allocated to maintained schools and academies in 2018/19, as recommended by the Schools Forum Sub-group.

Information used to analyse the effects on equality:

Analysis of January and May 2017 school census for all schools in Nottingham, to understand the impact of this funding on the school pupil population. 30% of pupils in Nottingham schools speak English as an Additional Language, 25.1% qualify for free school meals, 14.4% have special educational needs and 41.6% are BME.

	Could particularly benefit X	May adversely impact X	How different groups could be affected (Summary of impacts)	Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action isn't possible)
People from different ethnic groups.	\boxtimes		The proposal will benefit a diverse population of young people, as the criteria supports the funding of pupil growth across the City. The recommended criteria includes Deprivation funding based on each schools proportion of pupils eligible for the FSM, FSM6 and IDACI band factors. This means that those schools with a higher proportion of pupils with this make-up will receive more funding to reflect this, which will potentially benefit more vulnerable and challenging cohorts of children. There will be no negative impacts of this proposal.	None required.
Men				
Women				
Trans				
Disabled people or carers.				
Pregnancy/ Maternity				
People of different faiths/ beliefs and those with none.				
Lesbian, gay or bisexual people.				
Older				
Younger	\boxtimes			
Other (e.g. marriage/ civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/ good relations, vulnerable children/ adults).				

Outcome(s) of equality impact assessment:

•No major change needed 🛛 •Adjust the policy/proposal 🗌 •Ad

•Adverse impact but continue

•Stop and remove	the	policy/proposal]
------------------	-----	-----------------	---

Tel: 8765041

Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service: Not required.

Approved by (manager signature): Jonny Kirk, Service Manager, Access to Learning Email: jonny.kirk@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Date sent to equality team for publishing: 5/4/18

Before you send your EIA to the Equality and Community Relations Team for scrutiny, have you:

1. Read the guidance and good practice EIA's

http://www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/article/25573/Equality-Impact-Assessment

- 2. Clearly summarised your proposal/ policy/ service to be assessed.
- 3. Hyperlinked to the appropriate documents.
- 4. Written in clear user friendly language, free from all jargon (spelling out acronyms).
- 5. Included appropriate data.
- 6. Consulted the relevant groups or citizens or stated clearly when this is going to happen.
- 7. Clearly cross referenced your impacts with SMART actions.